

**Definition 23.1**

Let  $R$  be an integral domain, and let  $a, b \in R$ . We say that  $a$  divides  $b$  if  $b = ac$  for some  $c \in R$ . We then write:  $a \mid b$ .

**Theorem 23.2**

If  $R$  is an integral domain and  $a, b \in R$  are non-zero elements then  $a \sim b$  and only if  $a \mid b$  and  $b \mid a$ .

*Proof.* If  $a \mid b$  and  $b \mid a$  then  $b = ca$  and  $a = db$ . This gives  $b = cdb$ . Since  $R$  is an integral domain, we obtain that  $cd = 1$ , so  $c, d$  are units and  $d = c^{-1}$ . Therefore  $a \sim b$ .

Conversely, if  $a \sim b$  then  $b = ua$  for some unit  $u$ , and so  $a \mid b$ . Also,  $a = u^{-1}b$ , so  $b \mid a$ .  $\square$

**Example**

- In  $\mathbb{Z}$  we have:

$$\{\text{prime elements}\} = \{\pm \text{prime numbers}\} = \{\text{irreducible elements}\}$$

- By the proof of Theorem 22.5, in  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$  the element  $\alpha = 2 + \sqrt{5}i$  is irreducible. On the other hand  $\alpha$  is not a prime element since  $\alpha \mid (3 \cdot 3)$  but  $\alpha \nmid 3$ .

**Theorem 23.3**

If  $R$  is an integral domain and  $a \in R$  is a prime element then  $a$  is irreducible.

*Proof.* Let  $a \in R$  be a prime element and let  $a = bc$ . We want to show that either  $b$  or  $c$  must be a unit in  $R$ .

We have  $a \mid (bc)$ . Since  $a$  is a prime element it implies that  $a \mid b$  or  $a \mid c$ .

We can assume that  $a \mid b$ . Since also  $b \mid a$ , thus by Theorem 23.2 we obtain that  $a \sim b$ , i.e.  $a = bu$  for some unit  $u \in R$ . Therefore  $bc = a = bu$ . Since  $R$  is an integral domain, this gives  $u = c$ , and so  $c$  is a unit.  $\square$

### Theorem 23.4

If  $R$  is a UFD and  $a \in R$  then  $a$  is an irreducible element if and only if  $a$  is a prime element.

*Proof.* ( $\Leftarrow$ ) This follows from Theorem 23.3.

( $\Rightarrow$ ) Assume that  $a \in R$  is irreducible and that  $a \mid (bc)$ . We want to show that either  $a \mid b$  or  $a \mid c$ .

If  $b = 0$ , then  $b = a \cdot 0$  so  $a \mid b$ . If  $b$  is a unit, then  $c = b^{-1}bc$  so  $a \mid c$ .

As a consequence, we can assume that  $b, c$  are non-zero, non-units.

Since  $a \mid (bc)$  there is  $d \in R$  such that  $bc = ad$ . Assume that  $d$  is not a unit. Since  $R$  is a UFD we have decompositions:

$$b = b_1 \cdot \dots \cdot b_m, \quad c = c_1 \cdot \dots \cdot c_n, \quad d = d_1 \cdot \dots \cdot d_p$$

where  $b_i, c_j, d_k$  are irreducible. This gives

$$b_1 \cdot \dots \cdot b_m \cdot c_1 \cdot \dots \cdot c_n = a \cdot d_1 \cdot \dots \cdot d_p$$

By the uniqueness of decomposition in UFDs this implies that either  $a \sim b_i$  for some  $i$  or  $a \sim c_j$  for some  $j$ . In the first case we get  $a \mid b$ , and in the second case  $a \mid c$ .

If  $d$  is a unit the argument is similar.  $\square$

### Theorem 23.5

An integral domain  $R$  is a UFD if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:

- 1) Every non-zero, non-unit element of  $R$  is a product of irreducible elements.
- 2) Every irreducible element in  $R$  is a prime element.

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ) This follows from the definition of UFD and Theorem 23.4.

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Assume that  $R$  satisfies conditions 1) - 2) of the theorem. We only need to show that if  $b_1, \dots, b_k, c_1, \dots, c_l$  are irreducible elements in  $R$  such that

$$b_1 \cdot \dots \cdot b_k = c_1 \cdot \dots \cdot c_l$$

then  $k = l$ , and after reordering of the factors we have  $b_1 \sim c_1, \dots, b_k \sim c_k$ .

We argue by induction with respect to  $k$ .

If  $k = 1$  then we have  $b_1 = c_1 \cdot \dots \cdot c_l$ . Since  $b_1$  is irreducible, this implies that  $l = 1$ , and so  $b_1 = c_1$ .

Next, assume that the uniqueness property holds for some  $k$  and that we have

$$b_1 \cdot \dots \cdot b_k \cdot b_{k+1} = c_1 \cdot \dots \cdot c_l$$

where  $b_i, c_j$  are irreducible elements. This implies that  $b_{k+1} \mid (c_1 \cdot \dots \cdot c_l)$ . By condition 2) we get that  $b_{k+1}$  is a prime element. It follows that  $b_{k+1} \mid c_j$  for some  $1 \leq j \leq l$ . We can assume that  $b_{k+1} \mid c_l$ . Then  $c_l = ab_{k+1}$  for some  $a \in R$ . Since  $c_l, b_{k+1}$  are irreducible,  $a$  must be a unit. This shows that  $b_{k+1} \sim c_l$ . Furthermore, we obtain from here that

$$b_1 \cdot \dots \cdot b_k \cdot b_{k+1} = c_1 \cdot \dots \cdot c_{l-1} \cdot ab_{k+1}$$

Since  $R$  is an integral domain this gives

$$b_1 \cdot \dots \cdot b_k = c_1 \cdot \dots \cdot c_{l-1}a$$

Since  $b_k$  is irreducible and  $a$  is a unit, the product  $c_{l-1}a$  is an irreducible element. Therefore, by the inductive assumption we get that  $k = l - 1$ , and that after reordering of factors we have

$$b_1 \sim c_1, \dots, b_{k-1} \sim c_{k-1}, b_k \sim c_{l-1}a \sim c_{l-1}$$

□